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SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)
Pain, including:
- Acute pain
- Persistent pain
- Nociceptive pain
- Neuropathic pain

GUIDELINE CATEGORY
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Prevention
Treatment

CLINICAL SPECIALTY
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INTENDED USERS
Advanced Practice Nurses
Allied Health Personnel
Health Care Providers
Nurses
Physician Assistants
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GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)
To provide a standard of practice protocol for management of pain in hospitalized older adults

TARGET POPULATION
Hospitalized older adults

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED
Assessment
1. Assumptions about pain in older adults
2. Review of medical history, physical exam, laboratory and diagnostic tests
3. Review of medications
4. Patient self-report of pain
   - Visual analog scale
   - Vertical verbal Descriptor Scale
   - Faces Pain Scale
5. Nonverbal and behavioral signs of pain
6. Patient and family reports of pain characteristics

Management/Treatment
1. Prevention
   - Facilitation of appropriate treatment
   - Education about use of analgesic medications and nonpharmacologic strategies to manage pain
2. Treatment guidelines
   - Pharmacologic
   - Nonpharmacologic
   - Combination approaches
3. Follow-up
   - Response to and effects of treatment

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED
- Self report of pain relief
- Functional status
- Cognitive function
- Iatrogenic complications

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE
Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources)
Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources)
Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE
Although the AGREE instrument (which is described in Chapter 1 of the original guideline document) was created to critically appraise clinical practice guidelines, the process and criteria can also be applied to the development and evaluation of clinical practice protocols. Thus the AGREE instrument has been expanded for that purpose to standardize the creation and revision of the geriatric nursing practice guidelines.

The Search for Evidence Process

Locating the best evidence in the published research is dependent on framing a focused, searchable clinical question. The PICO format—an acronym for population, intervention (or occurrence or risk factor), comparison (or control), and outcome—can frame an effective literature search. The editors enlisted the assistance of the New York University Health Sciences librarian to ensure a standardized and efficient approach to collecting evidence on clinical topics. A literature search was conducted to find the best available evidence for each clinical question addressed. The results were rated for level of evidence and sent to the respective chapter author(s) to provide possible substantiation for the nursing practice protocol being developed.

In addition to rating each literature citation to its level of evidence, each citation was given a general classification, coded as "Risks," "Assessment," "Prevention," "Management," "Evaluation/Follow-up," or "Comprehensive." The citations were organized in a searchable database for later retrieval and output to chapter authors. All authors had to review the evidence and decide on its quality and relevance for inclusion in their chapter or protocol. They had the option, of course, to reject or not use the evidence provided as a result of the search or to dispute the applied level of evidence.

Developing a Search Strategy

Development of a search strategy to capture best evidence begins with database selection and translation of search terms into the controlled vocabulary of the database, if possible. In descending order of importance, the three major databases for finding the best primary evidence for most clinical nursing questions are the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), and Medline or PubMed. In addition, the PsycINFO database was used to ensure capture of relevant evidence in the psychology and behavioral sciences literature for many of the topics. Synthesis sources such as UpToDate® and British Medical Journal (BMJ) Clinical Evidence and abstract journals such as Evidence Based Nursing supplemented the initial searches. Searching of other specialty databases may have to be warranted depending on the clinical question.

It bears noting that the database architecture can be exploited to limit the search to articles tagged with the publication type "meta-analysis" in Medline or "systematic review" in CINAHL. Filtering by standard age groups such as "65 and over" is another standard categorical limit for narrowing for relevance. A literature search retrieves the initial citations that begin to provide evidence. Appraisal of the initial literature retrieved may lead the searcher to other cited articles, triggering new ideas for expanding or narrowing the literature search with related descriptors or terms in the article abstract.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Levels of Evidence

Level I: Systematic reviews (integrative/meta-analyses/clinical practice guidelines based on systematic reviews)

Level II: Single experimental study (randomized controlled trials [RCTs])

Level III: Quasi-experimental studies
Level IV: Non-experimental studies

Level V: Care report/program evaluation/narrative literature reviews

Level VI: Opinions of respected authorities/Consensus panels


METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE
Systematic Review

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE
Not stated

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS
Expert Consensus

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS
Not stated

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS
Not applicable

COST ANALYSIS
A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION
External Peer Review
Internal Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION
Not stated

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

Note from the National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC): In this update of the guideline, the process previously used to develop the geriatric nursing protocols has been enhanced.

Levels of evidence (I – VI) are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Assessment Parameters

- Assumptions
  - The majority of hospitalized older patients suffer from both acute and persistent pain.
  - Older adults with cognitive impairment experience pain but are often unable to verbalize it (Smith, 2005 [Level I]).
  - Both patients and health care providers have personal beliefs, prior experiences, insufficient knowledge, and mistaken beliefs about pain and pain management that:
    - Influence the pain management process
    - Must be acknowledged before optimal pain relief can be achieved (American Geriatric Society [AGS], 2002 [Level VI]).
  - Pain assessment must be regular, systematic, and documented in order to accurately evaluate
• Self-report is the gold standard for pain assessment (AGS, 2002 [Level VI]).

**Strategies for pain assessment**

• Review medical history, physical examinations, and laboratory and diagnostic tests to understand the sequence of events contributing to pain (AGS, 2002 [Level VI]).

• Assess present pain, including intensity, character, frequency, pattern, location, duration, and precipitating and relieving factors (AGS, 2002 [Level VI]).

• Review medications, including current and previously used prescription drugs, over-the-counter drugs, and home remedies. Determine which pain control methods have previously been effective for the patient. Assess patient's attitudes and beliefs about use of analgesics, adjuvant drugs, and nonpharmacological treatments (AGS, 2002 [Level VI]).

• Use a standardized tool to assess self-reported pain. Choose from published measurement tools, and recall that older adults may have difficulty using 10-point visual analog scales. Vertical verbal descriptor scales or faces scales may be more useful with older adults (Taylor et al., 2005 [Level V]).

• Assess pain regularly and frequently, but at least every 4 hours. Monitor pain intensity after giving medications to evaluate effectiveness.

• Observe for nonverbal and behavioral signs of pain, such as facial grimacing, withdrawal, guarding, rubbing, limping, shifting of position, aggression, agitation, depression, vocalization, and crying. Also watch for changes in behavior from patient's usual patterns (Taylor et al., 2005 [Level V]).

• Gather information from family members about the patient's pain experiences. Ask about patient's verbal and nonverbal/behavioral expressions of pain, particularly in older adults with dementia.

• When pain is suspected but assessment instruments or observation is ambiguous, institute a clinical trial of pain treatment (i.e., in persons with dementia). If symptoms persist, assume pain is unrelieved and treat accordingly (Herr, et al., 2006 [Level VI]).

**Nursing Care Strategies**

• Prevention of pain

  • Assess pain regularly and frequently to facilitate appropriate treatment (AGS, 2002 [Level VI]).
  
  • Anticipate and aggressively treat for pain before, during, and after painful diagnostic and/or therapeutic treatments (AGS, 2002 [Level VI]).

  • Educate patients, families, and other clinicians to use analgesic medications prophylactically prior to and after painful procedures (AGS, 2002 [Level VI]).

  • Educate patients and families about pain medications, their side effects and adverse effects, and issues of addiction, dependence, and tolerance (AGS, 2002 [Level VI]).

  • Educate patients to take medications for pain on a regular basis and to avoid allowing pain to escalate (AGS, 2002 [Level VI]).

  • Educate patients, families, and other clinicians to use nonpharmacological strategies to manage pain, such as relaxation, massage, and heat/cold (AGS, 2002 [Level VI]).

• Treatment guidelines

  • Pharmacologic (AGS, 2002 [Level VI])

    • Older adults are at increased risk for adverse drug reactions.
    
    • Monitor medications closely to avoid over- or under-medication.
    
    • Administer pain drugs on a regular basis to maintain therapeutic levels; avoid as occasion requires (prn) drugs.
    
    • Document treatment plan to maintain consistency across shifts and with other care providers.
    
    • Use equianalgesic dosing and World Health Organization (WHO) three-step ladder to obtain optimal pain relief with fewer side effects (WHO, 1996).
• Nonpharmacologic (AGS, 2002 [Level VI])
  • Investigate older patients' attitudes and beliefs about, preference for, and experience with nonpharmacological pain treatment strategies.
  • Tailor nonpharmacologic techniques to the individual.
  • Cognitive-behavioral strategies focus on changing the person's perception of pain (e.g., relaxation therapy, education, and distraction), and may not be appropriate for cognitively impaired persons.
  • Physical pain relief strategies focus on promoting comfort and altering physiologic responses to pain (e.g., heat, cold, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation [TENS] units) and are generally safe and effective.
  • Combination approaches that include both pharmacological and nonpharmacological pain treatments are often the most effective.

• Follow-up assessment
  • Monitor treatment effects within 1 hour of administration and at least every 4 hours.
  • Evaluate patient for pain relief and side effects of treatment.
  • Document patient's response to treatment effects.
  • Document treatment regimen in patient care plan to facilitate consistent implementation.

Definitions:

Level I: Systematic reviews (integrative/meta-analyses/clinical practice guidelines based on systematic reviews)

Level II: Single experimental study (randomized controlled trials [RCTs])

Level III: Quasi-experimental studies

Level IV: Non-experimental studies

Level V: Care report/program evaluation/narrative literature reviews

Level VI: Opinions of respected authorities/Consensus panels

- Absence of pain or pain that is at a level that the patient judges as acceptable
- Maintenance of the highest level of self-care, functional ability, and activity level possible
- Absence of iatrogenic complications, such as falls, gastrointestinal upset/bleed, or altered cognitive status

Nurse
- Demonstration of ongoing and comprehensive pain assessment
- Documentation of prompt and effective pain management interventions
- Documentation of systematic evaluation of treatment effectiveness
- Demonstration of knowledge of pain management in older patients, including assessment strategies, pain medications, nonpharmacological interventions, and patient and family education

Institution
- Evidence of documentation of pain assessment, intervention, and evaluation of treatment effectiveness
- Evidence of referral to specialists for specific therapies (e.g., psychiatry, psychology, biofeedback, physical therapy or pain treatment centers)
- Evidence of pain management resources for staff (e.g., care planning and pain management references, pain management consultants)

POTENTIAL HARS
Older adults are at increased risk for adverse drug reactions.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY
An implementation strategy was not provided.

IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS
Resources
For information about availability, see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.
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